



UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

Mark A. Emmert, President

June 20, 2007

Vice Provost Cheryl Cameron
Academic Personnel
Box 351237

Dear Cheryl:

Based upon the recommendations of the Faculty Council on Academic Standards, the Faculty Council on Tri-Campus Policy has recommended approval of a minor in Military Science and Leadership. A copy of the proposal is attached.

I am writing to inform you that the department of Military Science is authorized to offer this minor beginning spring quarter 2007 and thereafter.

The new requirements should be incorporated in printed statements and in individual department websites as soon as possible. The *General Catalog* website will be updated accordingly by the Registrar's Office.

Sincerely yours,

Mark A. Emmert
President

Enclosure

cc: Brian Rogers (with enclosure)
Mr. Robert Corbett (with enclosure)
Dr. Deborah H. Wiegand (with enclosure)
Todd Mildon, J.D. (with enclosure MSCI-20070417)



UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
**CREATING AND CHANGING UNDERGRADUATE
 ACADEMIC PROGRAMS**

OFFICE USE ONLY
 Control # MSCI - 20070417

After college/school review, send a signed original and 8 copies to FCAS, Box 355850.

Revised

For information about when and how to use this form: <http://depts.washington.edu/uwcr/1503instructions.pdf>

College Independent Program under Vice Provost	Department or Unit Military Science	Date 4/23/07
---	--	-----------------

New Programs

- Leading to a Bachelor of _____ in _____ degree.
- Leading to a Bachelor of _____ degree with a major in _____.
- Leading to a _____ Option within the existing major in _____.
- Leading to a minor in Military Science and Leadership

Changes to Existing Programs

- New Admission Requirements for the Major in _____ within the Bachelor of _____.
- Revised Admission Requirements for the Major in _____ within the Bachelor of _____.
- Revised Program Requirements for the Major in _____ within the Bachelor of _____.
- Revised Requirements for the Option in _____ within the major in _____.
- Revised Requirements for the Minor in _____.

Other Changes

- Change name of program from _____ to _____.
- New or Revised Continuation Policy for _____.
- Eliminate program in _____.

Proposed Effective Date:

Quarter: Autumn Winter Spring Summer **Year: 20_07**

Contact Person Brian M. Rogers	Contact's Phone 206 - 543 - 9010	Contact's Email rogersbm@u.washington.edu
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--

EXPLANATION OF AND RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGE

For new programs, please include any relevant supporting documentation such as student learning outcomes, projected enrollments, letters of support and departmental handouts. (Use additional pages if necessary).

Military Science and Leadership students are required to complete up to 36 hours of department coursework in order to complete nationally established Army ROTC requirements and receive a commission as a second lieutenant in the Army, Army Reserve, or Washington Army National Guard after graduation from the University of Washington. Although some programs at the University accept these credits towards the student's general education requirements, most of our department's courses are in addition to the student's degree requirements. Many of our students graduate with more total hours than their peers.

Students receive focused instruction with specific objectives in leadership, personal development, values and ethics, officership, and tactics and techniques. Each of these five learning tracks is integrated in our Military Science and Leadership curriculum and develops our students progressively. The current curriculum recognizes the importance of an effective leader who embodies the traits and values necessary to successfully lead others and execute multiple high-priority tasks simultaneously within the contemporary environment we live in. This represents a significant concentration and specialization in leadership development, meriting recognition as a minor field of study.

If approved, we expect to award recognition to between 20-25 students a year.

Whereas there are certain non-University related requirements for a student to receive a commission, there are no issues or requirements that prevent any University student from enrolling in Military Science and Leadership courses.

CATALOG COPY

Catalogue Copy as currently written. Include only sections/paragraphs that would be changed if you request is approved. Please cross out or otherwise highlight any deletions.

PROPOSED CATALOG COPY

Reflecting requested changes (Include exact wording as you wish it to be shown in the printed catalog. Please underline or otherwise highlight any additions. If needed, attach a separate, expanded version of the changes that might appear in department publications)

Minor in Military Science and Leadership:

Minor Requirements: 27 credits, to include the following:

1. 9 credits of Military Science coursework: M SCI 401, M SCI 402, and M SCI 403.
2. 18 credits of additional Military Science coursework.
3. A minimum of 18 credits of Military Science coursework must be completed at the upper-division level.
4. A minimum of 18 credits must be completed in residence at the University of Washington
5. A minimum of 2.5 in each course presented for the minor.

SIGNATURES (required)

Chair/Program Director <i>Dean M. Reyes</i>	Date 23 APR 07
Dean	Date
College Committee	Date
Faculty Council on Academic Standards <i>Gregg Wilk - Fort Trancampus, Gregg Wilk 5-25-07</i>	Date 4-27-07



Minor in Military Science and Leadership (MSCI-20070417)

Tri-Campus Review Comments:

Comment by ellen wijsman made 4/30/2007 2:27:54 PM

I do not think that a minor is justified. I don't think that the requirement for ROTC courses that increase course load should dictate either a major or a minor. Unlike other departments, ROTC is not an academic department, and faculty are not hired into those positions, and the coursework does not have the same input from the general faculty that regular UW courses have. ROTC is a military program, and the students end up with monetary subsidies for participating. Their extra course work can easily be considered part of the "job requirements".

Comment by gmayer made 4/30/2007 3:09:41 PM

Let the Military Academies do this...it's inappropriate for the University of Washington.

Comment by James Bassingthwaighte made 4/30/2007 4:18:43 PM

While some of the course work might be academically interesting, it is inappropriate for a degree in my view, even as a minor. Therefore disapprove.
(I did go through such training, and learned stuff, but let's not distort the university's prime purposes.)

Comment by Billie Swalla made 4/30/2007 6:48:05 PM

I don't normally comment on minors outside my field of specialty, but I agree with the other comments that I don't feel this is an appropriate minor for the University of Washington because the ROTC is not an academic department. I do not support the minor.

Comment by Mary Anne Mercer made 4/30/2007 7:31:54 PM

I agree totally with the preceding comments. The concept of 'military science' -- let's be scientific about how to kill large numbers of people, or at a minimum conquer them -- seems quite inappropriate for a public university of stature such as the University of Washington. I say that while acknowledging that we have a number of students for whom ROTC or other military service seems an economic necessity. It still isn't appropriate to provide academic credit for this area of 'study.'

Comment by U.W. School of Medicine made 4/30/2007 8:27:51 PM

There is nothing scientific about what the military's mission is. There is no place for this on the University of Washington campus. This goes for the Naval program too. Please do not approve this minor.

Comment by haimart made 5/1/2007 11:27:34 AM

This is not anything remotely appropriate on our University campus. I would support a minor in Global Peace Studies or International Mediation Studies.

Comment by Matthew Conroy made 5/1/2007 4:15:52 PM

I agree with the above commentors: this minor is a terrible idea. ROTC is not an academic department (in particular there is no external evaluation of the M SCI courses (is there?)), and so it is inappropriate to offer a minor based on courses run through ROTC. This appears to be an attempt to make ROTC more appealing by allowing students to get an extra bit of padding on their diplomas/transcripts.

Comment by eaclark made 5/1/2007 5:01:40 PM

This should not be allowed on the UW campus. It belongs at a military college. The United States is already greatly debilitated by the growing cancer of the military industrial complex. We don't need more militarism spread on to the UW campus.

Comment by Amanda Swarr made 5/1/2007 11:24:52 PM

This is an inappropriate endeavor for the UW. I strongly oppose the institution of this minor.

Comment by Elizabeth Wells made 5/3/2007 2:20:02 PM

I agree with all other comments suggesting that this is not an appropriate minor.

Comment by Ethan Merritt made 5/15/2007 9:17:36 AM

To the extent that ROTC students deserve recognition for their work in fulfilling these "nationally established ROTC requirements", they already receive it in the form of the resulting military commission itself. It is neither necessary nor appropriate to assume that this is an academic achievement and hence deserves separate academic recognition.

The argument that ROTC students "graduate with more total hours than their peers" is specious. What of students who work part time while attending UW? Do they not also gain valuable experience in personal development, values and ethics, etc? Certainly they exit the UW having put in more working hours than some of their peers. In both cases the motivation and achievement may be real, and the experience may be rewarded in the wider world. But it is not an academic achievement, and does not warrant an academic degree or "minor".

The information included below is provided to address misunderstandings contained within comments submitted as part of the Tri-Campus review for the proposed minors in Naval Science and Military Science.

In its current form, ROTC has been a part of the University of Washington since 1921. The ROTC Units consist of the Department of Naval Science, the Department of Military Science, and the Department of Aerospace Studies, all reporting directly to the Vice Provost for Academic Personnel at the University of Washington.

Military faculty for the three Departments are nominated by their respective military services, but the University reviews and approves each prospective candidate through a two-step process. The Officer Education Committee, which includes non-military UW faculty, reviews each nomination and forwards its recommendations for final review and approval by the Board of Regents.

The purpose of granting minors is to recognize significant concentration in an area of study. Any University student may take courses in any of the three Departments without enrolling in the ROTC Program, and any University student may qualify for the proposed minors. All courses offered by the Departments have been approved by the University.

The Department of Naval Science offers University students an opportunity to engage in study that leads to a commission in the U.S. Navy or Marine Corps while working toward a baccalaureate degree. The Naval Reserve Officer Training Corps (NROTC) Unit functions in conjunction with the Department of Naval Science. The Departments of Military Science and Aerospace Studies function in a similar way, commissioning officers in the U.S. Army and Air Force.

Each of the ROTC programs offers scholarship and non-scholarship options, and not all ROTC students receive money from the Government. Scholarship or not, the College Program student is an important part of the program.

In addition to their curricula required by their major, NROTC students take naval science courses in history and customs, naval engineering and systems, navigation, naval operations, and leadership/management. AROTC and AFROTC students take a similar course of study.

Upon graduation, all qualifying students within the ROTC program are commissioned as officers, after which they serve on active duty for a minimum of four years.

Message 14 of 15 (New)



Date: Wed, 30 May 2007 18:16:19 -0700
 From: dillon <dillon@u.washington.edu>
 To: uwcr@u.washington.edu
 Subject: Re: FCAS response for MSCI and NSCI

Chair's summary of FCAS (and SCAP) discussion of TriCampus comments on Military and Naval Science proposals for minors:

Both subcommittee and full committee reviewed the posted comments, which appeared to be largely animated by opposition to ROTC as an academic program. Several of the comments seemed to be based in inaccurate information. SCAP requested Capt. Richard Fitzpatrick, the new ROTC program director, to supply us with accurate information on these points. He did so (statement attached), and FCAS concluded that the TriCampus comments did not identify any significant problems with the proposals under consideration. Consequently, we forward these to FCTCP with our approval.

George Dillon
 Professor of English
 Chair of FCAS

uwcr@u.washington.edu wrote:

> George,
 >
 > Can I get a statement/response from FCAS on the Military and Naval Science
 > comments (since FCTCP is being thorough) to add to the file so I can send it
 > to Marcia.
 >
 > Thanks.
 >
 > Jennifer
 >
 > ~~~~~
 > UW Curriculum Office, Box 355850 (206) 543-5938
 > <http://depts.washington.edu/uwcr/>
 > Hours: M-F 7:00 am - 3:30 pm, or by appointment
 >
 > New Course and Course Change forms are available on the Curriculum Office
 > web site. Download them to your IBM computer and you can fill them out in
 > Microsoft Word.
 > ~~~~~

UNIVERSITY CAMPUSES UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAM REVIEW PROCEDURES**

CHECKLIST

Title of Proposal: Minor in Military Science and Leadership (MSCI-20070417)

Proposed by (unit name): Military Science

Originating Campus:

UW, Seattle

UW, Bothell

UW, Tacoma

I. Phase I. Developed Proposal Review (to be completed by Originating Campus' Academic Program Review body)

A. Review Completed by: FCAS

Chaired by: George Dillon

04/27/07 Date proposal received by originating campus's review body

04/27/07 Date proposal sent to University Registrar

04/30/07 Date proposal posted & email sent to standard notification list

05/25/07 Date of originating campus's curriculum body approval

(Note: this date must be 15 business days or more following date of posting)

B. 12 Number of comments received. Attach the comments and a summary of the consideration and responses thereof : (1-2 paragraphs)

II. Phase II. Final Proposal Review (to be completed by FCTCP)

A. Review Completed by:

FCTCP subcommittee

FCTCP full council

Chaired by: Marcia Killien

5/31/07 Date request for review received from University Registrar

6/5/07 Date of FCTCP report

B. Review

YES NO

Was notice of proposal posted on UW Website for 15 business days?

Was notice of proposal sent to standard mailing list 15 business days in advance of academic program review?

Were comments received by academic program review body?

Was response to comments appropriate? (explain, if necessary)

Was final proposal reviewed by FCTCP within 14 days of receipt?

Was there adherence to the University Campuses Undergraduate Program Review Process? (explain, if necessary)

Comments:

Numerous comments were received and the response by the originating unit clarified misunderstandings represented in the comments. The remainder of the concerns expressed in the comments were related to the appropriateness of military science offerings by the UW; these comments may be noted by the Provost and President, but they are beyond the scope of this review.

C. Recommendation

Forward for final approval

Forward to Provost because of University issues (Explain)

Return to campus council because of insufficient review (Explain).

**Endorsed by Faculty Senate Executive Committee, 1/10/05, modified 1/31/06; These procedures apply to new undergraduate degrees, majors, minors (and certificates) and substantive changes to same