

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

Mark A. Emmert, President

July 13, 2007

Dean B. Bruce Bare College of Forest Resources Box 352100

Dear Bruce:

Based upon the recommendations of the Faculty Council on Academic Standards, the Faculty Council on Tri-Campus Policy has recommended approval of an option in Landscape Ecology and Conservation within the existing major in Environmental Science and Resource Management. A copy of the proposal is attached.

I am writing to inform you that the College of Forest Resources is authorized to offer this option beginning autumn quarter 2007 and thereafter.

The new requirements should be incorporated in printed statements and in individual department websites as soon as possible. The *General Catalog* website will be updated accordingly by the Registrar's Office.

Sincerely yours,

Mark A. Emmert

Mark

President

Enclosure

cc: Michelle Trudeau (with enclosure)

Mr. Robert Corbett (with enclosure)

Dr. Deborah H. Wiegand (with enclosure)

Todd Mildon, J.D. (with enclosure ESRM-20070402D)





After college/school review, send a signed original and 8 copies to FCAS, Box 355850.

APR

2007

College	Department or Unit	Date	· -
Forest Resources	n/a	i	4/2/07
New Programs			
_	in		degre
	degree with a major in		_
	Option within the existing major in		
/ /			
Changes to Existing Program			
•	ents for the Major in w		
1	rements for the Major in		
	ements for the Major in		
Revised Requirements for	r the Option in Sust Forest Mgmt within the	he major in <u>Environmental Science & F</u>	es Mgmt
☐ Revised Requirements for	r the Minor in		
Other Changes			
☐ Change name of program	ı from	to	
New or Revised Continuation	on Policy for		
roposed Effective Date:			
	T Winter □ Spring □ Summer ■	ear: 20 ⁰⁷	
Quarter: of Autumn of	T wurter □ obuing □ orunitier &	ear: 20_ 	
Quarter: 🗹 Autumn 📋			
Quarter: Autumn Contact Person	Contact's Phone	Contact's Email	

For new programs, please include any relevant supporting documentation such as student learning outcomes, projected enrollments, letters of support and departmental handouts. (Use additional pages if necessary).

This option will provide students with the skills necessary to begin careers upon graduation in many environmental science fields, particularly those that are forestry related. Whether managing small, family-owned timberlands, larger corporate or other private lands, or public forestlands, students can acquire the knowledge, skills, and abilities to understand the ecology and biology of forest systems, to manage for environmental services, to conserve and manage habitat, to treat forest fuels, to achieve sustainable harvest, to market and sell forest products, to measure and assess natural resources, and to understand how social, economic, and ecologic forces impact the administration of forests and their resources.

The precursor degree to this option was the Society of American Foresters (SAF) - accredited undergraduate degree in Forest Management. With the movement of the accredited degree to the MFR level, this undergraduate option is also designed to prepare students for entry into the Professional Master's program as well as to prepare them for entry level positions offered by various forest products corporations and management organizations in the private or public sector.

CATALOG COPY	
Catalogue Copy as currently written. Include only sections/paragraphs that would be changed if you request is apport of otherwise highlight any deletions.	proved. Please cross out
New Option.	
PROPOSED CATALOG COPY	
Reflecting requested changes (Include exact wording as you wish it to be shown in the printed catalog. Please highlight any additions. If needed, attach a separate, expanded version of the changes that might appear in d	underline or otherwise
highlight any additions. If needed, attach a separate, expanded version of the changes that might appear in d All requirements of ESRM will remain the same except:	epartment publications)
Restricted Electives (35 credits): 300- or 400-level courses from within the College of Forest Resources. must be at the 400 level. To receive the Sustainable Forest Management Option in Environmental Science	15 of the 35 credits
Management (35 credits) students must complete: ESRM 368; ESRM 461; ESRM 323; ESRM 470; ESR	M 430 or CER 550:
ESRM 311 or ESRM 328 or ESRM 350 or ESRM 409 or ESRM 410 or ESRM 425 or ESRM 426 or ESRM ESRM 381 or ESRM 420 or ESRM 435 or ESRM 444 or ESRM 455 or ESRM 468; ESRM 320 or ESRM	VI 428; ESRM 315 or
ESRM 403 or ESRM 427 or ESRM 465.	021 01 E0111VI 400 01
(See also attached option proposal from our curriculum committee.)	
	1771
SIGNATURES (required)	
Chair/Program Director	Date
Cham vomilly	9/4/07
B. Buse Base	Paté 4/4/07
College Committee	Date
- Ulla V. Clut	3 April 2007
Faculty Council on Adademic Standards	Date
Jung Will Pre 188 - Levy this	4113/07
UoW 1503 (12/05) REVERSE (12/05) REVERSE	
6-8-07	PESET FORM

RESET FORM

ESRM Option Proposal in Sustainable Forest Management

1. Proposed Option Title

Sustainable Forest Management (SFM)

2. Brief rationale for the option

This option will provide students with the skills necessary to begin careers upon graduation in many environmental science fields, particularly those that are forestry related. Whether managing small, family-owned timberlands, larger corporate or other private lands, or public forestlands, students can acquire the knowledge, skills, and abilities to understand the ecology and biology of forest systems, to manage for environmental services, to conserve and manage habitat, to treat forest fuels, to achieve sustainable harvest, to market and sell forest products, to measure and assess natural resources, and to understand how social, economic, and ecologic forces impact the administration of forests and their resources.

The precursor degree to this option was the Society of American Foresters (SAF) - accredited undergraduate degree in Forest Management. With the movement of the accredited degree to the MFR level, this undergraduate option is also designed to prepare students for entry into the Professional Master's program as well as to prepare them for entry level positions offered by various forest products corporations and management organizations in the private or public sector.

3. Faculty Lead/Advisor

Eric Turnblom

4. Affiliated Faculty and roles of each

Gordon Bradley – Forest Land Use Planning
Frank Greulich – Management Science
Ivan Eastin – Forest Products Marketing
John Perez-Garcia – Forest Economics
Monika Moskal – Precision Forestry and Remote Sensing
Rob Harrison – Soil Science
Darlene Zabowski – Soil Science
Jerry Franklin – Forest Ecosystems
Peter Schiess – Forest Engineering
David Ford – Ecology and Silviculture
Greg Ettl – Ecology and Silviculture
Eric Turnblom – Mensuration and Silviculture

5. Specific ESRM courses required for the option.

Students electing the SFM option are required to take a basic soils course. This requirement can be met either by taking ESRM 210 as their choice among the five alternatives in satisfying their Natural World credits in the ESRM Disciplinary-based core, or by taking ESRM 311 (listed under the Forest Ecology and Biology electives category). The SFM option further consists of a limited set of required courses comprised of one from each of the four professional areas identified in Standard II of the SAF Accreditation Standards. In addition to the 19 credits of these four required courses, students must complete at least one other course (courses with

asterisk [*] strongly recommended) in each professional area and complete a total of 35 credits from the list. Finally, students are strongly encouraged to avail themselves of the many paid summer internship opportunities, for which credit can also be earned (ESRM 399), working with various forestry and forest products corporations and management organizations such as Green Diamond Resource Company, Longview Fibre Company, Washington Department of Natural Resources, the U.S. Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management, and other environmental and non-governmental agencies.

Forest Resources Measurement (* = strongly recommended course)

Required: ESRM 368 (4) Forest Resources Measurements

Other Courses: ESRM 430 (3) Aerial Photos/Remote Sensing *

ESRM 550 (3) Geospatial Tools for Precision Forestry

Forest Ecology and Biology (* = strongly recommended course)

Required: ESRM 323 (5) Practical Silviculture

Other Courses: ESRM 311 (3) Soils and Land Use (one of ESRM 210 or 311 required)

ESRM 328 (4) Forestry-Fisheries Interactions ESRM 350 (5) Wildlife Biology and Conservation

ESRM 409 (5) Soil Ecology

ESRM 410 (5) Forest Soils and Site Productivity

ESRM 425 (5) Ecosystem Management ESRM 426 (4) Wildland Hydrology *

ESRM 428 (5) Principles of Silviculture and their Application

Forest Resources Management (* = strongly recommended course)

Required: ESRM 461 (5) Forest Management and Economics

Other Courses: ESRM 315 (5) Old Growth and Forest Management

ESRM 381 (3) Management of Wildland Recreation and Amenities

ESRM 420 (5) Wildland Fire Management

ESRM 435 (3) Forest Entomology

ESRM 444 (5) Forest Ecosystems Protection: Insects and Diseases

ESRM 455 (1) Wildlife Seminar ESRM 468 (5) Forest Operations *

Forest Resources Policy, Economics, and Administration (* = strongly recommended course)

Required: ESRM 470 (5) Natural Resource Policy and Planning

Other Courses: ESRM 320 (5) Marketing and Human Resources

ESRM 321 (5) Finance and Accounting

ESRM 400 (3) Natural Resource Conflict Management * ESRM 403 (3) Forest and Economic Development

ESRM 427 (3) Integrated Management of Forest Landscapes

ESRM 465 (3) Economics of Conservation

6. Identify when each required course will be taught and by whom.

ESRM 368 - W - Turnblom ESRM 323 - Sp - Tumblom ESRM 461 - W - Greulich ESRM 470 - A - Bradley, Ryan

7. Describe how the required capstone experience will be designed and administered.

The capstone will be designed to give student teams experience in developing a forest management plan to meet a particular set of objectives on a particular forestland parcel. The objectives will be assigned by faculty to each team, not team or student generated, and will be multifaceted, encompassing the many aspects of modern forest and ecosystem management including managing for environmental services, accelerating structural development in stands, treating fuels, minimizing runoff, maximizing timber growth, marketing potential products or product mixtures, etc., and will involve optimizing some combination of these. Logical choices for forestland parcels to be used are Pack Forest, Lee Memorial Forest, or perhaps the Thompson Research Site, or ONRC and surrounding area. It is foreseen that expansion to other sites will be feasible, even desirable, as time goes on. Opportunities for the involvement of other CFR stakeholders will be pursued as well, for example, working with Washington DNR, Green Diamond Resources Co., Campbell Group, Longview Fiber, etc.

The capstone experience will be administered by five of the SFM affiliated faculty members, one serving as lead or coordinator of the capstone projects / activities, the remaining four providing resources and expertise to students when desired or required as the project activities unfold or evolve. Capstone team membership will represent expertise from all four aforementioned SAF professional subject matter categories.

Given the scope of the capstone project, its integrative nature, travel time to / from the project site, and scheduling group meetings, it will be conducted over the winter and spring quarters of the senior year. For example, two credits in winter quarter may be used for introduction, preparation, planning, reviewing forest practices, and gathering of auxiliary data, followed by eight credits in spring for collecting additional measurements, examining alternative silvicultural treatments, regimes, systems, and their implementation through operations, and the execution and writing of the management plan report itself. The capstone course should have a designated time in the course schedule of four hours in a single block and the meeting place should have tables, computers, printers, and other standard office supplies to provide for the unification of space, time, and action - a truly integrated learning and teaching environment.

8. Suggested review and evaluation criteria for the option

We intend to review the option on a three-year timetable, evaluating growth over each period and using an exit evaluation at the conclusion of the capstone project to judge quality of the program. We intend to have at least 10 students per upper division class (ideally 10 juniors and 10 seniors) as a minimum to continue the option after the third review period.

Environmental Science and Resource Management

Option in Sustainable Forest Management (ESRM-20070402B)

Tri-Campus Review Comments:

Comment by David Fluharty made 4/23/2007 10:48:49 PM

I do not see any mention of where students would be provided an understanding of sustainable forest management policy. Without knowing the relevant laws and processes at state and national levels, student will not be able to function fully.

```
Last of 7 messages
Date: Fri, 13 Jul 2007 11:15:43 -0700
From: Marcia Killien <killien@u.washington.edu>
To: uwcr@u.washington.edu
Cc: Robert Corbett <rcorbett@u.washington.edu>,
    Marcia Killien <killien@u.washington.edu>
Subject: FCTCP reviews for ESRM-20070402B/C/D - 2nd Attempt: FCTCP review
A subcommittee of FCTCP has reviewed these additional responses to comments on
the proposals for 1) ESRM-20070402B/C/D (new options in
Sustainable Forest Management, Wildlife Conservation, and Landscape Ecology and
Conservation) within the College of Forest Resources major in Environmental
Science and Resource Management. Thank you for forwarding them to us; we concur
that the proposals are now ready to be forwarded to the President's office for
approval.
---- Original Message ---- From: <uwcr@u.washington.edu>
To: <Killien@u.washington.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2007 2:10 PM
Subject: FCTCP reviews for ESRM-20070402B/C/D - 2nd Attempt
> Marcia,
> Please have FCTCP review the attached 1503's, comments, responses, checklists,
> other supporting documentation for 1) ESRM-20070402B/C/D (new options in
> Sustainable Forest Management, Wildlife Conservation, and Landscape Ecology
> and Conservation) within the College of Forest Resources major in
> Environmental Science and Resource Management.
> The College of Forest Resources has supplied responses per your request.
> Please let me know if you have any further questions.
> Jennifer
> UW Curriculum Office, Box 355850
                                   (206) 543-5938
> http://depts.washington.edu/uwcr/
> New Course and Course Change forms are available on the Curriculum Office
> web site. Download them to your IBM computer and you can fill them out in
> Microsoft Word.
```

> >

> > > > > > > > > >

> >

UNIVERSITY CAMPUSES UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAM REVIEW PROCEDURES** CHECKLIST

	Title of Proposal: Undergraduate option in Sustainable Forest
	Management (ESRM-20070402B)
	Proposed by (unit name): Forest Resources
	Originating Campus:
	_X_UW, Seattle
	UW, Bothell
	UW, Tacoma
I.	Phase I. Developed Proposal Review (to be completed by Originating Campus' Academic Program Review body)
	A. Review Completed by: FCAS
	Chaired by: George Dillon
	04/13/07 Date proposal received by originating campus's review body
	04/13/07 Date proposal sent to University Registrar
	04/16/07 Date proposal posted & email sent to standard notification list
	06/08/07 Date of originating campus's curriculum body approval (Note: this date must be 15 business days or more following date of posting)
	B. 1 Number of comments received. Attach the comments and a summary of the
cor	sideration and responses thereof : (1-2 paragraphs)
II.	Phase II. Final Proposal Review (to be completed by FCTCP)
	A. Review Completed by:x_FCTCP subcommitteeFCTCP full council Chaired by: M. Killien
	6/15/07 Date request for review received from University Registrar 7/9/07 Date of FCTCP report
	B. Review

	YES NO
	Was notice of proposal posted on UW Website for 15 business days?Was notice of proposal sent to standard mailing list 15 business days in advance of academic program review?Were comments received by academic program review body?
	Review Process? (explain, if necessary)
	Summary:
	FCTCP notes that the comments made by Professor Fluharty were not addressed; we would benefit from understanding FCAS's criteria for deciding that comments are "not germaine or answered in the original form". We note that typically FCAS or originating units request that the originating unit point out specifically where the issues were addressed in the original proposal or provide some rationale, and wonder why a different procedure followed in these proposals. We recommend that the originating unit be asked to provide a response to the comments and that those comments be forwarded with the proposal for final approval.
	C. Recommendation
	Forward for final approval Forward to Provost because of University issues (Explain)x_ Return to campus council because of insufficient review (Explain).
Ēr	ndorsed by Faculty Senate Executive Committee, 1/10/05, modified 1/31/06; These procedures apply to

^{**}E! new undergraduate degrees, majors, minors (and certificates) and substantive changes to same